

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	TLING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,850	05/08/2006	Jose Ramon Vasquez Ruiz Del Arbol	U 016092-3	4104	
LADAS & PA	7590 07/02/200 RRY I I P	9	EXAM	IINER	
26 WEST 61S	T STREET		KATCHEVES, BASIL S		
NEW YORK,	NY 10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/562,850	VASQUEZ RUIZ DEL ARBOL, JOSE RAMON			
Examiner	Art Unit			
BASIL KATCHEVES	3635			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	;
--------	---

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2005.

2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)🖂	Claim(s)	1-11 is/a	re pending	in the	application.
-----	----------	-----------	------------	--------	--------------

4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of References Cited (P10-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/8/06.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,850 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the superficial crack line" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1.978.278 to O'Brien.

Regarding claim 1, O'Brien discloses a device for forming joints in concrete, the device having trays (fig. 1: 11, 15, 12) in that the device comprise a plurality assembled on stiff linear members (24) leaving gaps between the trays.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,850

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 1.978.278 to O'Brien.

Regarding claim 2, O'Brien discloses the trays as having flat portion but does not particularly disclose the angle they are set in regards to the concrete. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed dimensions, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the angles such as specified in these claims.

Regarding claim 3, O'Brien discloses the trays as configured by pairs of semitrays (fig. 3: 19) with a broken surface with upper and lower portions at an angles, but does not disclose the angle with respect to the ground. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed angles, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the dimensions such as specified in these claims.

Regarding claim 4, O'Brien discloses supports (21) for the trays with at least two orifices (22) at their bases for allowing the passage of stiff linear members (24) through them

Regarding claim 5, O'Brien discloses the trays as having a conduit (22) for passage of stiff linear members. Application/Control Number: 10/562,850

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 6, O'Brien discloses openings (26) in a the trays but not a plurality of openings throughout the trays. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to us a plurality of openings, since it has been held that a mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. V. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claims 7, 8 and 11, O'Brien discloses joints (fig. 1: joint at 19) along the device and at the upper portions of the trays.

Regarding claim 9, O'Brien discloses supports (21) for the trays that have two orifices (22) for stiff linear members (24).

Regarding claim 10, O'Brien discloses the trays as having conduits (22) for the passage of the stiff linear members (24).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to joints in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,850 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot, can be reached at (571) 272-6777.

/Basil Katcheves/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3635